has considered all the bluk drugs on writes representations for wrong exclusion/inclusion clusion from price control under DPGO 1987 have been received by the Depart-itkatt of Chemical &. Petro-Chemicals.

(b) to (f) Hie whok matter is still under examination of Government.

Price of DeeadBStbeKa ete.

669. SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA: VTiB the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

&) whether it 's a fact that prices of Decadurabolin, Mixogen Injection and Testation Injection as charged by M/s. Enf&r contain around 300 per cent *MAPE;*

(b) if so, what is the MAPE provided In break up on Form 2-A submitted by the company under DPOQ, 1*87 of each

(c) whether it is also a fact that the company is looting public and siphon-big off the money to M/s. Martin & Harris;

(d) if so, what steps have been taken to order an enquiry under the Compa nies Act, 1956 of MRTP Act to investi gate the misfesence of funds;

(,e) is it also a fact that Government have received a number of representations from Members of Parliament, for wrong exclusion of their drugs from price control; and

(f) if so, date of receipt and action taken so far on each?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Government is not aware of any factual information in support of this allegation.

(d) Does not arise.

(e) and (f) The representations with regard to inclusion and exclusion of *drugs* price control are under CUB* ^deration of the Govtrnment

Set off allowed for special teas

670. SHRIMATI PRATIBHA SINGH: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred[1] Question 761 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 25th July 1991, and state:

(a) what were the amounts and item-on which set off was allowed in each: case by the Special Team;

(b) what were the amounts assessed by Special Team in Supreme Court case-after allowing set offs and what were the amounts assessed after review that hwe been determined;

(c) what are the main basis on which}. short assessment was made by the S)pe-cial Team;

(d) whether Government have a«y proposal to take action against the members of team; and

(e) if so, when and at what stage the action would be started?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN)- (a) and (c) The complaints of alleged acts of omission and commission received against the Special Team included, inter alia, the grant of unauthorised and inadmissible set-offs in the quantities of production and the sale value of various drugs and formulations.

(b) The information is given in the Statement.

(d) and (e) The question of taking action against the members of the Team would arise only after the liabilities of the companies are finally determined.

Statement

Supreme Court case Companies

Sl.No.	Name of the Company (Drugs involved)	Period involved	Special committee assessm	Revised Assessment	Amoun Deposited
1	*M/s. Cyanamind India L d.* (Depethyt Chloro Tetracycline and formulation)	Upto Dec. 83	389·06	490·47	100·00
2	*M/s. Hoechst India Ltd.* (Baralgon Ketone, Frusmide pheniramine te, PMT, Glyoenclamide)	Upto Dec. 83	458·10	2491·05 3289.75	312·70
		1984-87	N.A.	7780.00	
3	*M/s.John Wyeth Gesffory Manners* (Benzathine pencillin and formulations)	Upto Dec. 83	28·37 133·46	28.37 177.67	45·00
			161·83	206 04	
4	*M/s. Merind* (Dexamethasone & Formulations)	Upto Dec. 83	138·79	781·58	
		1984-8o	N.A.	1506·96	Nil
				2288.54	
5	*M/s. Pfizer* (Oxytetracycline & Formulations)	Upto Dec. 83	48·21	87·61	19·90
6	*M/s. Franco India M/s. Griffon* (Phenoxy Methyl pencillin Tablets)	Upto Dec. 83	11·02	14·02	0·43
7	*M/s. Tamil Nadu Dadha* (Calcium Lactate)	Upto Dec. 83	15·59	37·97	Nil
8	*M/s. Anil Starch* (Dextrose Anhydroud)	Upto Dec. 83	11·61	11·61	Nil
9	*M/s. S.S. Pharmaceuticals* (Oxyphenyl-Butazone)	Upto Dec. 83	114·30	205·36	Nil
10	*M/s. Ethnor*	Upto Dec. 87	8·15	10·19	10·19
	TOTAL			11132·61	407.62

Price of Betamethasone and its Baa

671. SHRIMATI PRATIBHA SINGH: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to the answer to Unstarred Question 767 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 25th July, 1991 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government fixe! the price of Betamethasone and its salts based on actual costs according to the directive of the High Court;

(b) if so, when and what were the prices fixed;

(c) what are the reasons for altering the prices by Murthy Committee; and